

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,972	08/29/2001	Yoshihide Murakami	213338	7743
23460 7	7590 04/02/2002			
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			EXAMINER	
180 NORTH S	NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE TETSON AVENUE	4900	REDDICK, MARIE L	
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2002	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ナーカー 6			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/941,972	MURAKAMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Judy M. Reddick	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/1	1 <u>5/01;01/17/02</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) $1-26$ is/are pending in the application	.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-26 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has be	en received.			
Attachment(s)		·-			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to an adhesive composition, classified in class 524, subclass 315.
 - II. Claims 17-25, drawn to an adhesive tape, classified in class 424, subclass 443.
 - III. Claim 26, drawn to a method of producing an adhesive tape or sheet, classified in class 427, subclass 2.31.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a food carton sealant, in and of itself, i.e., absent a second layer and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. The intermediate product is in mutually exclusive relationship with the final product as per presumably a reaction takes place upon deposition of the

Art Unit: 1713

adhesive onto the layer(s) engendering a final product distinct from the intermediate, i.e., the intermediate product looses its identity upon formation of the final product.

- 4. Inventions Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the adhesive of the Group I invention can be used in a materially different process such as a laminate forming process, a glass to metal sealant, etc.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. During a telephone conversation with Mr. John Kilyk, Jr. on 03/18/02 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-16.

 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

 Claims 17-26 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1713

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shirai et al(U.S. 5,543,151).

Shirai et al disclose and exemplify pressure sensitive adhesives defined basically as containing a) an acrylic polymer derived from a (meth)acrylic acid ester and other monomers copolymerizable therewith which include carboxyl groupcontaining monomers, alkoxy group-containing monomers, etc., b) a liquid or pasty component which includes an ester of an unsaturated fatty acid, c) a x-linking agent which includes an organic peroxide, an isocyanate compound(COLONATE HL) and d) other conventional additives, said pressure sensitive adhesives characterized as having a gel content of about 49 to 74. More

Art Unit: 1713

specifically, Shirai et al exemplify pressure sensitive adhesives falling within the scope of the claims with the understanding that one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily envisioned the use of any of the comonomers bridging columns 2 and 3, viz., an alkoxy group-containing monomer in, e.g., Runs 2, 3, etc. Shirai et al therefore anticipate the instantly claimed invention.

As to the dependent claims, the limitations are either taught by Shirai et al, suggested by Shirai et al or would have been obvious to the skilled artisan and with a reasonable expectation of success. See, e.g., the Abstract, cols. 2-6, Runs and claims of Shirai et al.

Conclusion

12. The prior art to Inosaka et al(U.S. 6,231,883) is cited as of interest in teaching medical adhesive sheets similar to those as claimed and is considered to be cumulative to the prior art supra.

Priority

13. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judy M. Reddick whose telephone number is (703)308-4346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703)308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)892-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-8183.

Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

JMR \mu March 22, 2002